UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/551,180	09/29/2005	Katsumasa Ono	159-98	1837
23117 NIXON & VAN	7590 08/17/200 NDERHYE, PC	EXAMINER		
901 NORTH G	LEBE ROAD, 11TH F	CALANDRA, ANTHONY J		
ARLINGTON, VA 22203			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1791	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			08/17/2009	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
	10/551,180	ONO ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
	ANTHONY J. CALANDRA	1791			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	pears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period in Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>05 Jac</u> This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowangles of the practice under <u>Backets</u> .	s action is non-final. nce except for formal matters, pro				
Disposition of Claims					
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3,4 and 6-8 is/are pending in the ap 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdra 5) ☐ Claim(s) 6-8 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1,3 and 4 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	wn from consideration.				
9)☑ The specification is objected to by the Examine 10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ acc Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	epted or b) objected to by the Education of the Education of the Idea of the I	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119					
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received. 					
Attachment(s) 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) 🔲 Interview Summary				
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	ate			

Detailed Office Action

Page 2

A request for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, including the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e), was filed in this application after final rejection. Since this application is eligible for continued examination under 37 CFR 1.114, and the fee set forth in 37 CFR 1.17(e) has been timely paid, the finality of the previous Office action has been withdrawn pursuant to 37 CFR 1.114. Applicant's submission filed on 6/5/2009 has been entered.

Claims 1, 3, 4, 6 and 7 have been amended. Claims 2 and 5 have been canceled. Claims 1, 3, 4, 6-8 are currently pending.

Specification

Applicant is reminded of the proper language and format for an abstract of the disclosure.

The abstract should be in narrative form and generally limited to a single paragraph on a separate sheet within the range of 50 to 150 words. It is important that the abstract not exceed 150 words in length since the space provided for the abstract on the computer tape used by the printer is limited. The form and legal phraseology often used in patent claims, such as "means" and "said," should be avoided. The abstract should describe the disclosure sufficiently to assist readers in deciding whether there is a need for consulting the full patent text for details.

The language should be clear and concise and should not repeat information given in the title. It should avoid using phrases which can be implied, such as, "The disclosure concerns," "The disclosure defined by this invention," "The disclosure describes," etc.

The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because it uses legal phraseology. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The examiner suggests the following language or language similar to could be adopted:

"Methods for producing cast-coated papers showing a reduced level of curling and reduced curling or wavy deformation caused by moisture absorption or other reasons as well as

showing a high surface quality in the cast-coated surface. The steps for producing cast-coated papers include applying a coating color based on a pigment and an adhesive on one side of a base paper, and pressing/drying the coated layer in the wet state against a casting drum mirror surface, where moisture is added to the coated paper by passing it through conditioned air at a high temperature and a high humidity (for 20 seconds or more) after pressing/drying the coated layer against a casting drum."

Applicant is reminded of the proper content of an abstract of the disclosure.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

1. Claim 1, 3 and 4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In instant claim 1, the applicant uses the language blowing the conditioned air directly onto the both sides of the cast-coated surface and the "opposite surface". As such the claim reads as if the paper has 3 sides, clearly not the applicant's intention. The examiner suggests the following language to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter blowing the conditioned air directly onto the both sides of the cast-coated <u>paper</u>, the coated surface and the opposite surface."

Claims 3 and 4 depend directly from claim 1 and are similarly rejected.

Claims 1, 3 and 4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the

rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

Claims 6-8 are allowed.

The following is an examiner's statement of the primary reasons indication of allowable

subject matter: The instant application claims methods and the apparatus for the conditioning of

cast coated papers. The cast coating of papers is known in the art, additionally it is known in the

art to condition cast coated papers. The prior art teaches conditioning chambers in which air

blows on one side of the paper sheet, conditioned chambers where air blows on paper sheets

while the paper sheet is supported on a roll or such and conditioned air which is blown into the

chamber but not directly onto the paper sheet. The prior art fails to disclose conditioning a cast

coated papers with the air blown directly onto the paper web on both sides while along an open

draw (paper web not supported by a cylinder or such) at the given temperatures and humidities,

further an apparatus capable of performing the claimed method has not been found in the prior

art of record.

Any comments considered necessary by applicant must be submitted no later than the

payment of the issue fee and, to avoid processing delays, should preferably accompany the issue

fee. Such submissions should be clearly labeled "Comments on Statement of Reasons for

Allowance."

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure.

U.S. 5,009,016 teaches an airborne web drier which treats a web after coating on both sides in an open draw. However, the patent fails to teach the type of coating, fails to disclose whether the blowing air humidity has been conditioned or if the apparatus is capable of conditioning the air.

U.S. 2,367,503, U.S. 3,191,312 and U.S. 2003/0057594 all teach a conditioned chamber, however, the chamber is indirectly conditioned not the paper being directly conditioned.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to ANTHONY J. CALANDRA whose telephone number is (571) 270-5124. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday, 7:30 AM-5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Steven Griffin can be reached on (571) 272-1189. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/551,180 Page 6

Art Unit: 1791

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent

Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications

may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished

applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR

system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR

system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would

like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated

information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Anthony J Calandra/

Examiner, Art Unit 1791

/Eric Hug/

Primary Examiner, Art Unit 1791